

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,476	07/23/2003	Paul W. Skinner	021028-000120US 1328	
	7590 06/21/2007 ual Property Law Group	EXAMINER		
1900 EMBARCADERO ROAD SUITE 109			NGUYEN, DINH Q	
PALO ALTO,	CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/626,476	SKINNER, PAUL W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	arch 2007.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>35-53 and 77-82</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>35-53 and 77-82</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		
are subject to restriction arrays	r cicolion requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		···	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	051100004404	) ( ) ( )	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(a) or (t).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/02/07</u> .	6)	•	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/626,476

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 50 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 50 and 53 claiming the same subject matter.
- 3. The intended use languages such as: "for dispensing materials", "for conveying a substance", "for sensing a condition" are not positive cited, thus carry no patentable weight.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 35-53, 77-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall, III in view of Iggulden et al. and Matz

Hall, III discloses a vegetation dispensing device comprising: a conduit 190 with a channel 195 (see figure 14), an outlet 232 for conveying a substance 206a-c, a sensor 200 for sensing growing conditions and communicated with the conduit 231 via controller 10 (see figure 15), a flow control 205 for regulating an amount of substance, a central control center 10, the plurality of sensors such as soil moisture, ground temperature, ion concentration (as disclosed in column 15, lines 34-60). Hall, III does not disclose the sensor being coupled to

Art Unit: 3752

the conduit, and a cable running along a length of the conduit. However, Iggulden et al. discloses an irrigation system with fluid flowing conduit 10/18/22 with a plurality of sensors 56' couple to the conduit 22 for sensing ground moisture and controlling the water flow and placed at regular intervals (see figures 6 and 8). Furthermore, the Iggulden et al irrigation system being adapted for dispensing fertilizers (see figure 11), and Matz discloses a lawn and gardening system having a conduit 122, an electrical cable 82 running a long a length of the conduit 122 (see figure 6) and connecting to sensor 482. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to configure the device of Hall, III with a sensor being coupled to the conduit as suggested by Iggulden et al. and a cable running along a length of the conduit as suggested by Matz. Doing so would provide a way to control fluid dispensing within the system (see Iggulden et al. column 3, lines 23+) and provide electricity to the sensors.

With respect to claims 41, 43-47, 50, 52, 53, Hall, III in view of Iggulden et al. and Matz do not disclose expressly the sensors such as leaf wetness sensor, insect sensor, DNA sensor, sugar accumulation sensor, pheromone sensor or protein sensor etc. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Hall, III device with the above sensors, because Applicant has not disclosed that the above sensors provides an advantage, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with any of the above sensors because they provide a way to monitor a condition of vegetation. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the device of Hall, III, Iggulden et al. and Matz to obtain the invention as specified in claims 41, 43-47, 50, 52, and 53. Furthermore, it is obvious to one skilled in

Application/Control Number: 10/626,476

Art Unit: 3752

the art to provide the Hall, III, Iggulden et al. and Matz device with any type of sensors (see Hall, III column 15, lines 43-45) and for one or more plants.

With respect to claims 78, Hall, III teaches that the apparatus is being used for grapes (see column 91, line 10).

#### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 3/29/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 77-53, 77-82 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn